UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/541,957	02/02/2006	Walter Fix	411000-138	8170
	7590 05/13/200 (RNE, BAIN, GILFILI	EXAMINER		
STEWART & O	OLSTEIN	CHHAYA, SWAPNEEL		
5 BECKER FA ROSELAND, N	=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2822	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/13/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/541,957	FIX ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
SWAPNEEL CHHAYA	2822	

	SWAPNEEL CHHAYA	2822	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 April 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	t, or other evidence, www. with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on thortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water MAMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a control of the control of	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	Od Coo official Notice of Non-Coo		DTOL 204)
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		npliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered busee attached.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Zandra V. Smith/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2822			

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

There are numerous discrepancies, and the proposed amendment does not place the application in condition for allowance. With regards to the further specification of the claimed range, applicant has not adequately shown the criticality in the claim. Furthermore, the claim states "whereby a u-shaped and/or meandering current channel, which begins and ends on one of said sides of the electrode of the first electrode layer is formed in the semiconducting layer to thereby minimize parasitic capacitance that otherwise might be present" conveys that the capacitance minimization is achieved by meandering current channel being formed in the semiconducting layer. This is contradictory to applicant's arguments.